

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/939,339 | 08/24/2001 | Densen Cao | 5045.2 | 3615 |
| 75 | 90 09/25/2002 | | | |
| Daniel P. McCarthy PARSONS, BEHLE & LATIMER 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | JACKSON JR, JEROME | |
| P.O. Box 45898 | UT 84145-0898 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Dan Lake City, | 01 04143 0070 | | 2815 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No | Ne Ne | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| | Office Action Summary | 09/939,339 | CAO, DENSEN | | |
| フ コ | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| 1 | as The MAILING DATE of this communication are | Jerome Jackson Jr. | 2815 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | |
| - External formula in the control of | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS | be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| 2a) □ | | - | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowa | | on proposition as As the cost to t | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> on of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or electric to near the control of | lection requirement. | | | |
| 9)□ T | he specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept | | Examiner | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | |
| 11)□ T | he proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) approved b) disar | oproved by the Examiner. | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | | , | | |
| 12) 🗌 T | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | |
| Priority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13) 🗌 🛚 A | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| | All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | _ | | | |
| 1 | . Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | cation No. | | |
| 3 | B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit | v documents have been reco | | | |
| * Se | application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of | au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | |
| 14)∐ Ac | knowledgment is made of, a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) 15)∐ Ac | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provi knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | sional application has been priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ | received. 120 and/or 121. | | |
| ttachment(s | | | | | |
| ☐ Notice o | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| Patent and Trade D-326 (Rev. | 04.04) | on Summary | Part of Paper No. 3 | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/939,339

-- Art Unit: 2815

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Claims 2 and 3 reciting reflective layers.
- II. Claim 5 reciting a conductive substrate.
- III. Claim 6 reciting an insulative substrate.
- IV. Claim 8 reciting a phosphor coating.
- V. Claim 9 reciting a power module.
- VI. Claim 11 reciting heat conductive adhesive.
- VII. Claim 12 reciting light reflective adhesive.

Claim 1 is generic to the above claims.

- VIII. Claims 14 and 15 reciting reflective layers.
- IX. Claim 17 reciting a conductive substrate.
- X. Claim 18 reciting an insulative substrate.
- XI. Claim 20 reciting a phosphor coating.
- XII. Claim 21 reciting a power module.
- XIII. Claim 23 reciting heat conductive adhesive.
- XIV. Claim 24 reciting light reflective adhesive.
- XV. Claim 25 reciting a cover.
- XVI. Claim 26 reciting a coating.
- XVII. Claim 27 reciting a transparent material in the well.

Claim 13 is generic to claims 14-27 and differs from generic claim 1 in reciting patently distinctive "wells'.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,339

-Art Unit: 2815

4

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1 and 13 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

"Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 703 308 4937. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lee can be reached on 703 308 4915. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular communications and 703 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

J September 23, 2002

> JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER